

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

DONNA MILBRAND,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANDREW SAUL¹,
Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

No. 4:17-CV-02330

(Judge Brann)

(Magistrate Judge Arbuckle)

ORDER

AUGUST 14, 2019

Plaintiff filed the instant action on December 18, 2017, and it was jointly assigned to the undersigned and to a magistrate judge. Upon designation, a magistrate judge may “conduct hearings, including evidentiary hearings, and . . . submit to a judge of the court proposed findings of fact and recommendations.” Once filed, this report and recommendation is disseminated to the parties in the case who then have the opportunity to file written objections.

On July 25, 2019, Magistrate Judge William I. Arbuckle, to whom this matter is jointly assigned, issued a thorough report and recommendation recommending that the decision of the Commissioner be vacated, and the action remanded.

No objections to the report and recommendation have been filed. For portions of the report and recommendation to which no objection is made, the Court should, as

¹ Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d), Andrew Saul, as the successor officer to Nancy Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of Social Security, is automatically substituted as Defendant in this action.

a matter of good practice, “satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” Regardless of whether timely objections are made by a party, the District Court may accept, not accept, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.

Because I write solely for the parties, I will not restate the facts, but will instead adopt their recitation as set forth by the magistrate judge. I have conducted a de novo review here and found no error.

AND NOW, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Magistrate Judge William I. Arbuckle’s July 25, 2019 Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 15, is **ADOPTED in full**.
2. The decision of the Commissioner of Social Security is **VACATED and REMANDED**.
3. Final Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 58 and sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).
4. The Clerk is directed to close the case file.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Matthew W. Brann

Matthew W. Brann
United States District Judge